



Atty. Docket No. AER-P-2

Applicant : Kamil, Zvi
Serial No. : 10/025,646
Filed : December 19, 2001

TC/A.U. : 2642
Conf. No. : 8261
Examiner : Agdeppa, Hector A.

For : TELEPHONE CALL RESTRICTOR AND
RELATED METHOD OF PROGRAMMING

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

NOV 01 2004

Technology Center 2600

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified application is a **Supplemental Response**

FEE FOR ADDITIONAL CLAIMS


A fee for additional claims is not required.

	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	NUMBER OF EXTRA CLAIMS	LARGE ENTITY RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS:	24	- 25	= 0	x \$18	= \$0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS:	4	- 4	= 0	x \$88	= \$0
TOTAL FEE DUE				\$	0

The Commissioner is authorized to charge payment of any additional extension or other fee under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 which may be required by this paper or credit any overpayment of same to Deposit Account No. 503195.

Respectfully submitted,
Attorney for Applicant

Date: October 27, 2004


By: 
Dennis M. Flaherty
Reg. No. 31,159
OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY and
BROITMAN P.C.
250 Park Avenue, Suite 825
New York, NY 10177-0899
Tel. No. (212) 681-0600
CUSTOMER NO. 44702

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date set forth below.

October 27, 2004

Date


Dennis M. Flaherty



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Zvi Kamil Confirmation No. : 8261
Serial No. : 10/025,646 TC/A.U. : 2642
Filed : December 19, 2001 Examiner : Agdeppa, Hector A.

For : TELEPHONE CALL RESTRICTOR AND
RELATED METHOD OF PROGRAMMING

Attorney Docket No.: AER-P-2
Customer No.: 44702

Date: October 27, 2004

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

NOV 01 2004

Technology Center 2600

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE

Sir:

Applicant submits this Supplemental Response to the Final Office Action ("Final Office Action") mailed July 28, 2004. A Response ("Response") to the Final Office Action was previously mailed by Applicant on October 26, 2004.

At the outset, Applicant asks that lines 5-9 of page 4 of the Response be amended as follows:

In contrast, *Rosen* has no such requirement since ~~*Pintar*~~ *Rosen* does not teach disconnecting the telephone line to prevent unauthorized calls. On the other hand, the apparatus of *Rosen* requires a dialer or audio oscillator to generate tones in order to produce the interference for preventing unauthorized calls. (See, *Rosen*, Abstract) In contrast, ~~*Rosen*~~ *Pintar* has no such requirement since it *Pintar* does not teach using interference to prevent unauthorized calls.